

John Wollarton applying for a Patent for 68 Acres of Land in East Bradford Township, Chester County, Surveyed on Eleanor Hollis's Warrant dated 12th April, 1763, in order to agree with the Proprietaries and it appearing that the said was settled & improved about thirty years agoe he is allowed a Patent on his paying for the same at the then common Terms of 15£. 10 ¢ Cent with Intrest from 1st March, 1758.

Nicholas Shaffer applying for a Resurvey of two Tracts of Land Patented to him the 12th November, 1764, in Order to correct the Errors of Survey he is allowed the same.

At a special meeting at the Surveyor General's 19th June, 1788.

Present as above.

The Memorial of Philip Black was read Stating that in the year 1775 he settled on a Tract of Land in Washington County and hath dwelt thereon ever since and made valuable Improvements. That he had a Virginia Certificate dated in 1780 regularly entered with the Surveyor and had a Survey made the 5th May, 1786, by Nevill & Ritchie, which Survey was afterwards returned for one Francis Hindman on a late Warrant dated 5th May, 1786, and that no notice being taken on the Draught of any claim by Black, Hindman obtained a Patent and prayed a Patent might be granted to him also. The Board on examining the Surveys are of Opinion that the Facts as stated by Black are probable and inasmuch as Black's right seems to be founded on the first regular Improvement, the first Office right and the first Survey, it now appears that Black ought to have a Patent. But postpone the granting the same to the first monday in September next, in Order that Hindman may make his Objections if any he has, And as it is likely that Mr. Nevill may be able to explain some contradictions or irregularities which now appear, he desired to write to the Board at that time & Black is to give both Nevill & Hindman a Copy of this minute at least thirty days before the said first monday in September, if Hindman can be found.

At a special meeting at the Council Chamber 26th June, 1788.

Present

The Honorable Peter Muhlenberg, Esq'r, Vice Presid't.

The Honorable Abraham Smith, Esq'r.

The Officers of the Land Office.

John Patrick applying for a Patent for 297 Acres Surveyed on

to the first Monday in May next, against which time the Surveyor of the District is directed to return the Surveys of the parties pointing out their interferences.

At a special meeting at the Surveyor Gen'l's 25th Novem'r, 1788.

present

His Excellency Thomas Mifflin, Esq'r, President.

The Honorable Samuel Miles, Esq'r.

The Surveyor General
The Receiver General
The Secretary } of the Land Office.

Samuel Maclay, Esq'r, appearing and Doct'r Plunket having sent a Deposition of John Kidd proving a Copy of a Minute of a meeting of this Board of the first Monday in September last, was not given him in a due time, therefore the Case which was to be heard the first Monday in December next. A Copy of this minute being given to Doctor Plunket thirty days before that time.

Elisha Teeters
v.
John H. Redick. } On Caveat.

The Board took into consideration the Testimony produced formerly in this Case whereby it appears, that one James Colvin (who sold to Teeters) was possessed of both a Penns'a Application & a Virginia Certificate, but he had his Survey made on the Application & a Patent issued thereon leaving out the land in contest, & that a Warr't was granted to John Hoge Redick. Therefore it is the Opinion of the Board as Colvin hath not included the land in Controversy in his Patent as the Virginia Certificate was for the same place his Application was located on & as there was no improvement of any consequence at the time of the granting Redick's Warr't except what belongs to him that the Survey shall be returned & accepted on Redick's Warr't & Patent issued to him.

Philip Black
v.
Francis Hindman. } On Caveat.

At a meeting on the 16th day of June last, on the Application of the said Black for a Patent on a Virginia Right, it was made appear that he ought to have a Patent, unless Francis Hindman who it was suggested had by surprise obtained a Patent for the same or a part thereof, should shew cause to the contrary by the first Monday in September last, which he not doing then, nor

at any time since, the Board considering the Certificate of Mr. Nevill now produced & the other Circumstances as set forth in the former Minute, do allow Black a Patent, no Cause being shewn to the contrary by Hindman.

At a Meeting at the Surv'r General's the 1st Decem'r, 1788.

present

His Excellency Thomas Mifflin, Esq'r, President.

The Honorable Zebulon Potts, Esq'r.

The Surveyor General
The Receiver General
The Secretary } of the Land Office.

Frederick Hummell
v.
Christopher Coble. }

This Case being referred at a meeting of this Board the first Monday in September last, to William Scott, Martin Shitter & John Herman to hear the parties and examine their Claims and report, &c., the said Shitter and Herman have reported favorably to Coble who claims under an Application of Jacob Smith for 250 Acres. Therefore it is Ordered that the said Quantity shall be returned for him agreeable to a Survey made by Charles Lukens and that the remainder of that Survey shall be returned for Hummell on Benor's Warrant on the side next to Daniel Hoff's which is supposed to be about 13 Acres so as to include Hummell's House, Improvements & as much as may be of his clear Land.

Robert Denny
v.
Joseph Junkin. } On Caveat.

It appears on hearing Junkin & on examining his and the papers of Denny that the land in contest is a small piece near Stony ridge included in a Survey of 113 Acres made in the Year 1764, by Mr. William Lyons without dispute (as Certified by him) on a Warr't dated 1st July, 1762, long before any Office right was obtained by Denny. Therefore the Caveat is dismissed & Junkin allowed a Patent.

Baltzer Barge
v.
Will'm Thompson
Tho's Fleming &
Geo. Smith. }

On hearing the parties it appears that Jacob Barge under whom